2021-2022 Assessment Cycle

# **Assessment Findings**

### **Program Assessment Accomplishments**

Our results continue to indicate that our MACNLE students are grasping the key ideas we seek to measure. We have closely monitored outcomes and have revised some course content to hone in on certain concepts, which has resulted in improved scores over the previous year.

# Finding per Measure

### MA - Communication and Leadership Outcome Set

PSLO 1

Outcome: Evaluate the assessment, analysis and implementation of resources to achieve strategic organizational leadership within complex systems.

▼ **Measure:** Strategic Planning and KPI Project

Program level Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Students will submit a Strategic Planning and KPI

Project Paper in their LE 620 course.

Acceptable Target: 90% of students will earn a "B" or better on the

Strategic Planning and KPI Project Paper Rubric.

Supporting Attachments:

**OLE 620 Strategic Planning Case Analysis Rubric (Adobe Acrobat Document)** 

Findings for Strategic Planning and KPI Project

Summary of Findings: 100% of students achieved an A on their KPI

Project plan assignment in the fall 2021

semester.

Results: Acceptable Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations: 100% achievement is wonderful, but it may

be time to revisit the standard to ascertain if it remains useful. As this course is going to be taught by a new faculty member in the coming year, we will re-consider adjusting

the standard.

Reflections/Notes: All assessment data will be presented for

consideration by the graduate faculty at our

fall meeting.

# PSLO 2

Outcome: Critique actions recognizing values and ethics within systems.

▼ Measure: Cultural Intelligence Reflection

Program level Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: All students will submit a Cultural Intelligence

Reflection Paper in their LE 640 course.

Acceptable Target: 90% of students will earn a "B" or better on the

Cultural Intelligence Reflection Paper rubric.

Supporting Attachments:

<u>o</u>LE 640 Cultural Intelligence Rubric (Microsoft Word)

Findings for Cultural Intelligence Reflection

Summary of Findings: 100% of students earned a B or better on

their Cultural Intelligence Reflection

assignment.

Results: Acceptable Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations: No specific recommendations at this time.

Reflections/Notes: All assessment data will be presented for

consideration by the graduate faculty at our

fall meeting.

### PSLO 3

Outcome: Assess policy and organizational/community improvements at the local/global level.

▼ Measure: Public Policy Analysis Paper

Program level Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: All students will submit a Public Policy Analysis

Paper in their LE 640 course.

Acceptable Target: 80% or more of students will earn a "B" or better on

the Public Policy Analysis Paper rubric.

Supporting Attachments:

M LE 640 Policy Analysis Rubric (Word Document (Open XML))

Findings for Public Policy Analysis Paper

Summary of Findings: 100% of students earned a B or better on

their Public Policy Analysis Paper.

Results: Acceptable Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations: No specific recommendations at this time.

Reflections/Notes: All assessment data will be presented for

consideration by the graduate faculty at our

fall meeting.

#### PSLO<sub>4</sub>

Outcome: Analyze problems/opportunities for organizational/community improvement at the personal, local/global level.

▼ Measure: Final Capstone Paper Assignment

Program level Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: All students are required to construct a final

research paper that accompanies their Capstone

project in CN/LE 698.

Acceptable Target: 90% of students will earn a "B" or better on the

Final Capstone Paper rubric.

Supporting Attachments:

OCN-LE 698 Final Paper Rubric (Microsoft Word)

Findings for Final Capstone Paper Assignment

Summary of Findings: 100% of students earned a B or better on

their CN 698 Final Project.

Results: Acceptable Target Achievement: Met

Recommendations: The individual rubrics and assignment

descriptions for this course need to be

overhauled to make more sense for program

needs, but overall, this overhaul should not impact the student's ability to succeed on this assessment outcome other than making

the assignment clearer to follow.

Reflections/Notes: All assessment data will be presented for

consideration by the graduate faculty at our fall meeting. Furthermore, the faculty will be

asked to approve all changed to the

assignment description and rubric for the

698 projects.

# PSLO 5

Outcome: Analyze communication processes.

▼ Measure: Case Study Analysis Paper Program level Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: All students are required to submit a Case Study

Analysis Paper in their CN 642 course.

Acceptable Target: 90% of students will earn a "B" or better on the

Case Study Analysis Paper rubric.

Supporting Attachments:

រុក្ខ CN 642 Case Study Rubric (Word Document (Open XML))

Findings for Case Study Analysis Paper

Summary of Findings: 90% of students were able to earn a B or

better on their Case Study Analysis Paper.



Results: Acceptable Target Achievement: Met

Recommendations: A new faculty member will be teaching this

beginning in spring 2023, so we will be asking him to review and evaluate the

success of this measure and mechanism. We will hope to have his insights in time for our

fall 2023 meeting.

Reflections/Notes: We will revisit this outcome for potential

changes in 2023, but for now, we will simply

share the data with faculty in the fall.

#### **Overall Recommendations**

The results above suggest that our students are learning the core outcomes we set forth for the program. Having said that, we are entering a time of great transition for the program. 3 faculty who have taught key courses are leaving/retiring, and so there will be a bit of reassessment of how we do things, including discussing our assessment outcomes and mechanisms. This will be an ongoing process until we find stable faculty to teach these classes, but we welcome any suggestions from the Assessment committee for things to consider given our previous reports.

#### **Overall Reflection**

Faculty continue to report data dutifully and have found the process for doing so relatively straight-forward. Not altering the process for collecting and reporting assessment data in the future, then, should remain as stable and accessible as possible to ensure continued faculty buy-in.

## **Faculty Collaboration**

Faculty collaboration is difficult given the nature of our graduate program. We are made up of faculty from the



Leadership Institute, Communication Studies department, and a variety of adjuncts. Each person who teaches with us teaches only one class and they are (or at least have been) the only ones who teach that specific class. We have attempted to standardize some aspects of teaching in our program (use of Zoom, the nature of discussion boards, the use of APA style, etc.), but going beyond surface-level ideas is worrisome in order to preserve academic freedom. Given all of the above, we would welcome suggestions on how to institute greater collaboration beyond simply sharing assessment results and discussing measures at annual meetings.

#### **Communication & Collaboration with Students**

Program outcomes and rubrics are shared with students at the start of each relevant class. 698 project information is also presented in a program D2L page sub-module with examples of past student work and all rubrics used throughout the process. We could improve how we share program assessment results with students, though I have heard anecdotally that this does occur within most classes when discussing the assignments involved. Again, suggestions for improvement on how to strengthen this, especially for an online-only graduate program comprised primarily of working professionals who go to school part-time, would be appreciated.

### **Communication & Collaboration with External Stakeholders**

We do not have an advisory aboard for our program or really any kind of external input regarding our assessment process. We have seen comparisons on some relevant indicators as gleaned from EAB reports, but other than that, we have no communication or collaboration with external stakeholders. Now that the immediate COVID crisis has seemed to abate, we might begin work on this specific part of our program and welcome suggestions on how to do so for our specific program.

### **Communication & Collaboration with University**

I have served as program assessment liaison for the past 2 years and program director for the past 3. I have attended some training to help facilitate and improve assessment practices, but will look to attend any new workshops offered or meet with individuals from relevant offices to improve the specific elements identified above in the coming years (I say years, specifically, because I will be on sabbatical in the spring, so improvement of all areas identified above may require extra time).

Last Modified: 06/27/2022 11:14:14 AM CST

