

HN 202 USLO Rubric
Critical and Creative Thinking¹

Student Learning Outcome	Advanced 4	Target 3	Developing 2	Beginning 1
<p>SLO 1--Evidence Evaluate written, oral (and/or visual information), evidence, and argument for reliability and authority (and/or usefulness). Examples of sources listed include but are not necessarily limited to the following: observation, testimony, measurement, and experimental research.</p>	<p>Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/ evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly.</p>	<p>Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/ evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.</p>	<p>Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/ evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning.</p>	<p>Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/ evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.</p>
<p>SLO 2—Influence of context and assumptions Analyze own and other's assumptions systematically and methodically, and evaluate relevance of contexts when presenting a position.</p>	<p>Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.</p>	<p>Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position.</p>	<p>Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).</p>	<p>Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.</p>
<p>SLO 3—Conclusions and related outcomes Develop well-supported, clearly articulated argument(s) to support a stance and use to justify one or more conclusions.</p>	<p>Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.</p>	<p>Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.</p>	<p>Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.</p>	<p>Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.</p>

¹ This rubric is adapted from the Association of American Colleges and Universities Critical Thinking VALUE Rubric.