Washburn University Meeting of the Faculty Senate May 4, 2020 3:00 PM Zoom Meeting Hosted by FS Executive Committee

- I. Call to Order
- II. Approve minutes of the April 20, 2020 Faculty Senate Meeting (pp. 2-5)
- III. President's Opening Remarks
- IV. Report from the Faculty Representative to the Board of Regents
- V. VPAA Update Dr. JuliAnn Mazachek
- VI. Consent Agenda
 - Faculty Senate Committee Reports
 - Approval of the Faculty Handbook committee Minutes from April 10, 2020 (p. 6)
 - University Committee Reports
 - Receipt of the Assessment Committee Minutes from November 14, 2019 (pp. 7-8)
 - Receipt of the Academic Diversity & Inclusion Committee Minutes from March 3, 2020 (pp. 9-10)
- VII. Old Business
 - 20-19 Change to Section 3V (Procedure for Termination) of the Faculty Handbook (pp. 11-27)
- VIII. New Business
 - IX. Information Items
 - X. Discussion Items
 - XI. Special Orders
 - Welcome to our new senators.
 - Election of Faculty Senate Officers for 2020-2021
 - President
 - Vice President
 - Secretary
 - Parliamentarian
- XII. Announcements
- XIII. Adjournment

Washburn University Meeting of the Faculty Senate April 20, 2020 3:00 PM Zoom Meeting Hosted by FS Executive Committee

Present: Barker, Beatie, Byrne, Cook (M), Cook (S), Dodge, Friesen, González-Abellás, Grimmer, Grant, Huff, Jones, Juma, Krug, Mazachek, Miller, Morse, Prasch, Ricklefs, Sainato, Schmidt, Smith, Stevens, Vandelsem, Wang, Watson, Wasserstein, Woody, Zwikstra

Absent: Douglass, Menninger-Corder, Pierce, Romig

Guests: Ball (A), Ball (J), Desota, Erby, Grospitch, Holthouse, Liedtke, Routsong, Stephensen

- I. Call to Order
- II. The minutes of the April 6, 2020 Faculty Senate Meeting were approved.
- III. President's Opening Remarks
 - Need to vote for General Faculty to approve new programs via online voting
 - Prasch moved and Schmidt seconded
 - Items to be included: 20-7 School of Business - Concentration in Data Analytics 20-13 B.Ed. Government and Political Science Secondary Education 20-14 B.Ed. Middle Grades STEM 20-15 B.S. Computer Information Sciences, concentration in Data Science 20-16 Minor: African American and African Diaspora Studies 20-17 Minor: Museum and Curatorial Studies
 Schmidt made a friendly amendment to include all of these
 - Wasserstein asked about items 20-8, 20-9, 20-10, 20-11, and 20-12. Each of these will be information items distributed at another time.
 - Motion to vote on new programs online passed.
 - Dr. Shermoen, longtime chair of the math department passed away April 13.
 - May 4th is our last meeting of the year. All new and leaving members should attend. Once old business is completed, officers for next year will be elected.
 - Take care of yourselves; thanks for working together to get things done.
- IV. Report from the Faculty Representative to the Board of Regents (Wasserstein)
 - The budget meeting included a presentation on the state of the budget which included a lot of question marks. We won't have a good grasp of things until July or August. Proposed budgets will be presented at the June meeting.

- Farley discussed Ichabods Moving Forward as well as other new ways to do things. New programs were approved, as well funding for nursing school equipment and a new roof for the LLC. A policy to give administration more flexibility over shared leave was approved; the board also approved adding *pandemic* to the emergency policy.
- Faculty were approved for tenure and promotion
 - Tenure and promotion to associate professor: Danielle Head, (Art/CAS), Alexandra Klale (Soc Anth/CAS); Linsey Moddelmog (Poli Sci/CAS)
 - Promotion to associate professor: Jane Carpenter (School of Nursing); Crystal Stevens (School of Nursing)
 - Promotion to full professor: John Mullican (Bio/CAS); Mary Sundal (Soc Anth/CAS), Kerry Wynn (Hist/CAS/Honors)
- V. VPAA Update Dr. JuliAnn Mazachek
 - Moved to information
- VI. Consent Agenda
 - Faculty Senate Committee Reports
 - Approved the Faculty Handbook committee minutes from February 28, 2020; March 19, 2020; and March 20, 2020.
 - Approved the Academic Affairs committee minutes from March 23, 2020.
 - University Committee Reports: None
- VII. Old Business
 - 20-19 Faculty Senate Summer 2020 Transition
 - Per Morse, this is meant to formalize the conversation regarding this year's transition. Academic affairs approved the existing executive officers stay on as the new officers take on their role. Care was made to ensure this was in line with the constitution.
 - Thanks from Mazachek for working to make this happen.
 - Approved unanimously.
- VIII. New Business: None
 - IX. Information Items
 - Updates from the VPAA
 - Thanks from Mazachek for helping make this a smooth transition.
 - Faculty handbook will have materials coming through soon.
 - The effects of COVID-19

- Kuwitzky and Thornburgh have been looking at numbers from our funding mechanisms (e.g. tuition, city taxes, and state support).
 Usually these are all well separated; currently they are not. Each had seen an increase and now things are unsure.
- There are three potential scenarios that have been examined. Most likely scenario is that state sales tax and tuition will be down ten percent. Another scenario is that tuition will be down twenty percent; currently we are down fifteen percent. All in all, it is likely the budget will be six million dollars short. The deans have already worked to identify temporary and long term budget savings.
- There is a hiring freeze. Any hires will need to go through the VPAA.
 There is a very high chance positions will not be filled.
- Students are not liking the online experience. It is possible that we lose these students if fall is online as well.
- o Planning for the fall
 - Unsure when the next wave of the virus will happen, though everything is point to November for the next outbreak. Need to ensure fifty people max in any area, with six feet of space between people to permit courses start in person in the fall. A new committee is being formed to look at how we do that. Recommendations will be made before the stay home order expires. Email Aileen Ball with interest. In the meantime, a survey will be conducted to see how faculty are doing and how they feel about remaining online come fall.
 - Wang asked if promotion and tenure will continue. Zwikstra asked about the salary increases approved earlier this year. Per Mazachek, there are no intended changes. The deadlines and process remain the same for tenure and promotion and faculty can get an extra year.
 - Schmidt suggested we might start the semester a couple weeks earlier. Ricklefs asked if those at risk employees can to remain off campus until there is a vaccine. None of this is determined currently. Morse asked if it could be coordinated that hand sanitizers are provided in all classrooms. This can be arranged.
 - Huff asked if current faculty searches should continue. Mazachek prefers positions be managed to prevent layoffs of current faculty.
 - Questions about money coming in from the CARES act. Mazachek reported that we are to receive about 4.5 million. Approximately 3.8 million will go to WU and 750,000 to WUTech. Half will be used for financial aid with the other half to overcome COVID-19 related costs of the spring semester. Per Holthouse, use of the money can be pretty general.

- Schmidt asked if the deans will look at course sizes and adjust to ensure that limits are met.
- Mazachek explained that the response to the 2008 recession was different. The economy tanked, but WU recovered, balanced the budget, and there was a positive outcome with higher enrollment. During a recession, people go back to school. Many of the considerations made did come to fruition. We cannot plan for this one, as it is both a health and monetary crisis, affecting every aspect of our lives. Similar tools have been identified. This time there will not be the increase in students; many have said they will take a year off.
- CARES added unemployment insurance for furloughed workers. Byrne asked if we have considered furloughing workers with paid health insurance, perhaps through July to save money. Lee and Kuwitzky have been looking into it. Workers can earn up to 1100 a week if furloughed or reduced for up to 39 weeks.
- Jones asked about how much of this is public. An email will go out soon to announce the work being done and plans to start fall in person. Grospitch would avoid talking to students about these things. WSGA has been in conversation about this, specific to residence halls. Options include upselling doubles as singles to create a balance but still permitting roommates. May also attempt to do one person per room. Need to avoid putting some students in a situation that will prevent them from living on campus.
- Miller asked whether salary cuts are being discussed. This is at the bottom of the list. We would have to be super desperate to go there.
- Some international students left after the dorms were closed. Byrne was curious about whether they will return. That is still up in the air. Currently, there are 60 international students on campus in the villages.
- Wang asked about commencement. Per Mazachek, there is conversation regarding remote options, with individual departments doing this already. There will not be a summer ceremony.
- Take care of you. This is weird for everyone.
- X. Discussion Items: None
- XI. Announcements: None
- XII. Adjournment 3:57

Faculty Handbook committee minutes Washburn University 4-10-20

Attendance:

Ball, Barker, Bird (representing Bearman), Byrne, Carpenter, DeSota, Frank, Fried, Holthaus, Isaacson, Mazachek, Munzer, Prasch, Ramirez, Schmidt, Sollars, Stephenson, Wynn

Minutes:

Ball called the meeting to order at 9:04 a.m.

The minutes were approved without changes for:

- February 28, 2020
- March 19, 2020
- March 20, 2020

The committee discussed the addition of step 2a in the handbook termination policy for tenured faculty. This addition adds a faculty advisory committee, which will be advisory to the Vice President for Academic Affairs. Note- this does not replace the TAC. The committee supports this step with an additional statement indicating optional attendance by the faculty member.

The committee continued review of the Termination Appeals Committee procedure beginning at 4G. The committee continued discussion on the following topics:

- How to define professional incompetence
- Should the TAC include junior faculty members
- Should written reviews be offered to junior faculty
- Should reviews be written by individuals or the group

The committee decided to change the professional incompetence language to competence in teaching and learning. If professional incompetence is in question, this would be reviewed by external professionals in that field, submitting a written report of their findings.

The meeting was adjourned by Mazachek at 10:00 a.m.

ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES Thursday, November 14, 2019 2:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. Memorial Union-Cottonwood Room

In attendance:

Vickie Kelly (Chair), Cat Jaynes (administrative support), Christa Smith, Bobby Tso, Benjamin Reed, Jericho Hockett, Steve Hageman, Gloria Dye, Danny Wade, Jennifer Ball, Nancy Tate, Debbie Isaacson

Discussion – Watermark Reviews

Committee members gave feedback on reviewing in Watermark. Feedback provided:

- There might not be introductions on graduate courses.
- Rubrics are submitted as an attachment with Assessment Plans.
- Missions are reviewed by comparing them to the current graduate or undergraduate course catalog.
- Vickie is working with Watermark to resolve reviewer assignment issues.

USLO Reports – Christa Smith

Christa presented information from the 2018-19 USLO Student Summary Scores Report. There was less overall student USLO rating collected due to a decrease in the number of subjects and sections from which USLOs were collected. Compared with last year's results there was no statistical significance. The report can be found on the Assessment website.

Update about Assessment Extravaganza

The focus of the Assessment Extravaganza will be on USLO testing. All of the sessions are filled:

- 1. Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT) Gloria Dye
- 2. Oral Communication Amy Memmer
- 3. Written Communication Muffy Walter
- 4. Departmental Buy in Jericho Hockett
- 5. Indirect Assessment Measures Erin Grant
- 6. ETS Proficiency Profile Benjamin Reed
- 7. Assessment Grant Maria Stover and Kristen Grimmer
- 8. Assessment Grant Linda Merillat

The sessions will begin 2:35. Tables need to be spaced farther apart this year. Presenters should stand up when their session is over to help signal the end of the session. Session descriptions will be added to the Eventbrite registration to help attendees decide which sessions to attend prior to the event.

*Session descriptions are due by January 20, 2020.

Other Remarks/Announcements:

Vickie and Christa met with the Washburn Student Government Association (WSGA) and asked for feedback on incentives for students to take university-wide assessments and found out extra credit is what students want most. How do we get this message out to faculty?

Academic Diversity & Inclusion Committee Minutes March 3, 2020 1 PM Shawnee Room

Attendees: Berumen, Barraclough, Brown, Dempsey-Swopes, DeSota, Ellis, Erby, Etzel, Grant, Hart, Kendall-Morwick, Lambing, McClendon, Miller, O'Neil, Thimesch, Walter, Wasserstein, Williams, Wynn

- I. Approved the February 11, 2020 Academic Diversity & Inclusion Committee meeting minutes
- II. Reports from Student Organization Meetings
 - Williams tried to attend a First-Generation meeting, but there was no one present.
 - Etzel attended BSU. Brown v. Board is looking for student volunteers to lead programs for children.
 - Kendall-Morwick attended HALO. The meeting included an update on the new multicultural center and its potential name.
 - The annual Step Show was successful.
- III. Old Business
 - Campus Climate Survey Update
 - a. Climate survey closed with 50 percent faculty and staff and 30 percent student participation. Results should be available sometime after spring break. Some committee members may be asked to help with conducting analysis, and focus groups.
 - b. Winners for the participation prizes have been selected and notified.
- IV. Discussion Items
 - WUmester 2021: Sustainability
 - a. Discussion about how to alert faculty and staff to the selection of this topic
 - Reports from Best Practice Subcommittee
 - a. Grant and O'Neil presented the charter information for the best practice subcommittee.

- b. Committee members who have been examining the effects of the CTEL inclusive teaching certificate have been accepted to present at this year's NCORE.
- Programming Ideas for 2020 Presidential Election
 - Per Erby, Dr. Grospitch communicated about a desire to engage students in academic conversations on potentially controversial topics during the 2020 presidential election season in fall 2020. Discussion followed about potential topics.

V. Announcements

- The proposal for the African American and African Diaspora Minor will be considered by CAS on March 4.
- Immigrant and activist Louis Estrada is coming to campus to talk about his experience on March 5 as part of WUmester.
- On March 16, WUmester Mondays at the Mabee will host Millennium Student Fellows. This group has been working to distribute free period products on campus.
- CTEL innovation award nominations are due April 10th; please nominate people for the diversity and innovation categories or send nominations to Erby to compile and submit.
- Williams announced that there would be a message from Farley regarding COVID-19 sent out this afternoon. It will include how it has affected bigotry in the United States as well as travel and sabbatical opportunities.

VI. Adjournment 2:01

FACULTY AGENDA ITEM

Date: April 22, 2020

Submitted by: Faculty Handbook Committee

SUBJECT: Change to Section 3V (Procedure for Termination) of the Faculty Handbook

Description: The Faculty Handbook Committee has revised the procedure for termination of faculty includes faculty classified as lecturers, tenure-track, and tenured.

Rationale: These comprehensive revisions together clarify and update these processes for best practices. The revised processes allow for greater involvement from faculty, and will better protect participants' privacy.

Financial Implications: None

Proposed Effective Date: Upon approval

Request for Action: Approval by Faculty Affairs Committee and Faculty Senate

Approved by: FAC on date 4/28/2020

Faculty Senate on date

Attachments Yes X No

V. Procedures for Termination

A. General Statement

A faculty member may be disciplined, or dismissed, for cause on grounds including but not limited to (1) intellectual professional dishonesty; (2) acts of discrimination, including harassment, prohibited by law or University policy; (3) acts of moral turpitude substantially related to the fitness of faculty members to engage in teaching, research, service/outreach and/or administration; (4) theft or misuse of University property; (5) incompetence; (6) refusal to perform reasonable assigned duties and/or substantial neglect of duty; (7) use of professional authority to exploit others; (8) violation of University policy substantially related to performance of faculty responsibilities; and (9) violation of law(s) substantially related to the fitness of faculty members to engage in teaching, research, service/outreach and/or administration. The procedure that will be followed when terminating the employment of a faculty member for cause is discussed below.

B. Pre-termination Resolution requirements

Before a recommendation for termination or a decision to terminate for cause is made, certain interactions, at a minimum, should have already occurred. For units that have department chairs, there should have been a discussion between the department chair and the faculty member who has one or more problematic issues that would suffice as grounds for termination for cause as set out above. If that discussion does not result in immediate resolution of the problem or a Performance Improvement Plan (*"PIP"*), then the department chair shall arrange for a pre-termination process meeting between the faculty member, the department chair and the Dean. For units without department chairs, the initial meeting shall be between the faculty member and the Dean. For all units, if the meeting with the Dean results in immediate resolution of the issue(s) or if a PIP is implemented, then the matter would not proceed further towards termination at that time.

 IF THE CONDUCT OF THE FACULTY MEMBER IS OF SEVERE RISK TO THE SAFETY OF OTHERS, then the pre-termination process set out above may be disregarded and administration may move directly to the Formal Termination Process;

C. Formal Termination Process

Employment status pending procedure

- 1. If the VPAA believes that there exists a severe risk to the safety of others, or that there is a significant disruption to the operations of the University at any time during the termination process, the VPAA may suspend the faculty member from all duties or reassign the faculty member to other duties that would greatly reduce the severe risk or significant disruption. This decision shall be provided in writing to the faculty member. Such suspension or reassignment is not intended and shall not be used to create any undue hardship on the faculty member fully participating in this Termination Process.
- The faculty member may request, within three *business* days of the written notice of suspension/reassignment, a meeting with the VPAA to appeal the suspension/reassignment. This meeting shall occur as soon as practicable but no later than seven days from the date of the request for meeting.
- 3. The faculty member may present any information the faculty member believes is relevant to show why the faculty member should not be suspended/reassigned pending resolution of the matter.
- 4. The VPAA, after considering the information presented by the faculty member, shall notify the faculty member within two *business* days if the suspension/reassignment remains in place. This decision shall be final and no further appeals will occur.
 - a. If the VPAA changes a suspension to a reassignment of duties, such change shall be considered a final decision from which there will be no appeal.

For purposes of all termination processes, the following information shall apply:

- Calculation of Time:
 - All time periods referenced in this process are stated in calendar days unless otherwise indicated.
 - "business day" shall mean any day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or a day that the University offices are closed.

- If the last day of a time period occurs on a Saturday, Sunday or day that the University offices are closed, then the time period shall continue to the next business day.
- If University offices are closed three or more consecutive weekdays, such as winter break or due to weather, than then those weekdays and any intervening weekends and holidays shall not be included in the calculation of the time period.
- If at any time during the process, the VPAA or the President are unable or otherwise unavailable to complete their obligations in a timely fashion, they may designate another person employed at Washburn to perform the duties described in this process.
- Any time period set out in this process may be extended by agreement of the parties.
- If the faculty member is unavailable for a period of time due to Family Medical Leave Act as reviewed and approved by the Department of Human Resources, then any pending time period in this process shall be stayed until such time the faculty member becomes available to participate in the process.

1. NON-TENURE TRACK FACULTY:

STEP 1: If the discussion with the Dean does not result in immediate resolution of the problem(s) and no PIP is implemented, the Dean, after consultation with the VPAA, shall notify the faculty member that he/she is terminated from his/her faculty position effective immediately. The notification shall be in writing and shall state the cause(s) from Section A above that are the basis for the Dean's decision.

STEP 2: The faculty member shall have fourteen (14) days to file a written request for appeal with the VPAA. The written appeal should state all reasons that the faculty member desires the VPAA to consider as part of the appeal. If no appeal of the Dean's decision is timely filed, then the termination of the faculty member becomes final.

STEP 3: If the faculty member does file a written appeal with the VPAA, the VPAA shall arrange to meet with the faculty member within fourteen (14) days from the date the written appeal is received by the VPAA. The VPAA may choose to meet with the Dean separately or have the Dean be present during the meeting with the faculty member.

STEP 4: After the meeting, the VPAA shall have ten (10) days to issue his/her decision on the appeal. The VPAA may either uphold the termination of the faculty member or

determine an alternative resolution to the matter. The decision of the VPAA shall be final and no further appeals shall occur.

2. TENURE TRACK FACULTY

STEP 1: If the discussion with the Dean does not result in immediate resolution of the problem(s) and no PIP is implemented, the Dean shall make a recommendation to the VPAA that the faculty member be terminated from his/her faculty position effective immediately.

STEP 2: The VPAA shall make arrangements to meet with the faculty member one-onone regarding the problem(s). This meeting should occur as soon as reasonably possible after receiving the Dean's recommendation. The VPAA may also request additional information from the Dean and/or department chair, if applicable.

• If the VPAA is able to work out a resolution with the faculty member regarding the matter, then no further action will be required.

STEP 3: If no resolution of the matter is reached between the faculty member and the VPAA, the VPAA shall then determine if the recommendation of the Dean will be followed or modified or rejected. The VPAA shall make this decision within fourteen (14) days from the meeting with the faculty member.

- If possible, the decision of the VPAA should be delivered in person with the faculty member present. The Dean (and department chair, if applicable) may be present at the discretion of the VPAA. If an in-person meeting is not possible within the fourteen days, then the decision shall be issued in writing.
- Whether or not the in-person meeting occurs, the faculty member shall receive written notification of the VPAA's decision. If the decision is to terminate the faculty member, the written notification shall include the cause(s) from Section A above that the VPAA relied upon in making the termination decision.

STEP 4: If the VPAA's decision is to follow the Dean's recommendation and terminate the faculty member or involves some form of suspension from duties, the faculty member shall have fourteen (14) days to file a written request for appeal with the Faculty Discipline Review Committee (*"FDRC"*). (There is no appeal process if the VPAA recommends a PIP or some other form of sanction short of suspension or termination.) The written appeal should state all reasons that the faculty member desires the FDRC to consider as part of the appeal. If no appeal of the VPAA's decision is timely filed, then the decision of the VPAA becomes final. STEP 5: If the faculty member does file a written appeal with the FDRC, the FDRC shall be appointed as set out in Section D below.

STEP 6: The FDRC shall follow the procedures set out in Section D below and then issue its recommendation decision as to whether the VPAA's decision should be followed or modified, stating what modifications it would recommend. That recommendation decision shall be forwarded to the President. If the recommendation decision of the FDRC is follows the VPAA's decision, then the VPAA's decision becomes final and there is no further appeal

STEP 7: If the recommendation decision of the FDRC is other than to follow the decision of the VPAA, the President shall review the VPAA's decision to terminate or suspend the faculty member, the faculty member's written appeal to the FDRC and the recommendation decision by the FDRC. The President may request and consider additional information from any of the above named parties or from the Dean or department chair, if applicable. Within fourteen (14) days of receipt of the FDRC's recommendation decision, the President shall issue his/her decision whether to uphold, reject or modify the VPAA's decision. The President's decision shall be final and no further appeals will occur.

3. TENURED FACULTY

STEP 1: If the discussion with the Dean does not result in immediate resolution of the problem(s) and no PIP is implemented, the Dean shall make a recommendation to the VPAA that the faculty member be terminated from his/her faculty position effective immediately.

STEP 2: The VPAA shall make arrangements to meet with the faculty member one-onone regarding the problem(s). This meeting should occur as soon as reasonably possible after receiving the Dean's recommendation.

• If the VPAA is able to work out a resolution with the faculty member regarding the matter, then no further action will be required.

STEP 3: If no resolution is reached after the meeting in Step 2, the VPAA shall convene a Faculty Advisory Committee within three (3) days with whom to confer before making a determination under Step 3. The Faculty Advisory Committee shall consist of three tenured faculty members, one selected by the VPAA, one selected by the faculty member and a third selected by agreement between the VPAA and the faculty member. If the faculty member refuses to submit any names in a timely fashion or if the VPAA

and faculty member cannot reach agreement on the third committee member, the VPAA may select the remaining members of the committee. The meeting between the VPAA and the Faculty Advisory Committee shall occur no later than seven days after the formation of the committee. This meeting shall be considered confidential and any information from that meeting will not be allowed to be presented to the Faculty Discipline Review Committee (*"FDRC"*) in the written appeal to the FDRC or presented to or considered by the FDRC in the formal hearing process.

- After meeting with the Faculty Advisory Committee, if the VPAA, in the sole discretion of the VPAA, believes another meeting with the faculty member would be beneficial, then the VPAA may schedule another meeting with the faculty member. That meeting shall occur within seven days of the VPAA extending the invitation. This seven day time limit may be extended by agreement of both parties.
- If the VPAA is able to work out a resolution with the faculty member regarding the matter, then no further action will be required.

STEP 4: The VPAA shall then determine if the faculty member should be placed on a PIP, impose additional conditions on an existing PIP, or recommend to the President that the faculty member be suspended or terminated. The VPAA shall make this decision within fourteen (14) days from the meeting with the faculty member. If the VPAA decision is something other than termination or suspension of the faculty member, the process stops at the VPAA's decision.

- If possible, the decision of the VPAA should be delivered in person with the faculty member present. The Dean (and department chair, if applicable) may be present at the discretion of the VPAA. If an in-person meeting is not possible within the fourteen days, then the decision shall be issued in writing.
- Whether or not the in-person meeting occurs, the faculty member shall receive written notification of the VPAA's decision. If the decision is to recommend termination or suspension of the faculty member, the written notification shall include the cause(s) from Section A above that the VPAA relied upon in making the recommendation.

STEP 5: If the VPAA's recommendation is to terminate the faculty member or involves some form of suspension from duties, the faculty member shall have seven (7) days to file a written request for a full review with appeal to the Faculty Discipline Review

Committee (*"FDRC"*). (There is no review process if the VPAA recommends a PIP or some other form of sanction short of suspension or termination.)

 If the faculty member does not request a full review by an appeal to the FDRC of the VPAA's recommendation in a timely fashion, the President shall review the recommendation. Unless the President determines that the VPAA's recommendation is arbitrary or capricious, the President shall follow the recommendation and terminate or suspend the faculty member. There shall be no appeal from the President's decision.

STEP 6: If the faculty member does file a written request for review with the FDRC, the FDRC shall be appointed as set out in Section D below. After the FDRC is appointed, the VPAA shall provide an allegation of charges, no later than fourteen (14) days after receiving the written request for full review, an appeal to the Chair of the FDRC restating the cause(s) relied upon by the VPAA in making the recommendation along with a detailed summary of the evidence upon which the charge(s) of misconduct are based.

STEP 7: The faculty member shall file a written response to the allegation of charges no later than seven (7) days prior to the first hearing date scheduled by the FDRC.

STEP 8: The FDRC shall follow the procedures set out in Section D below and then issue its recommendation decision as to whether the VPAA's recommendation should be followed, rejected or modified, stating what modifications it would recommend should be made. That recommendation decision shall be forwarded to the President, VPAA and faculty member.

STEP 9: The faculty member, after being advised of the FDRC's decision in Step 7 above, shall have seven (7) days to notify the President in writing if the faculty member disagrees with the FDRC recommendation decision, This is an opportunity for the faculty member to make any statement to the President that the faculty member would want the President to consider before the President makes his/her decision.

STEP 10: The President shall review the VPAA's allegation of charges, the recommendation by the FDRC and any statement provided by the faculty member in Step 9. Within fourteen (14) days of receipt of the FDRC's recommendation decision, the President shall issue his/her written decision whether the faculty member should be dismissed, suspended, or other action shall be taken, which includes taking no action at all against the faculty member. If the President's determination is different than the

FDRC recommendations decision, the President shall address this difference in his/her decision.

If the President appeals the TAC's FDRC's decision to WUBOR, he/she shall have fourteen (14) days to file a written request for appeal to the WUBOR. His/her written appeal shall address his/her reasoning for requesting that the TAC's FDRC's decision be set aside. The President's written appeal to the Board of Regents shall be forwarded to the faculty member, who shall have fourteen (14) days to file with the WUBOR a written response to the President's appeal. The WUBOR shall review the decision of the TAC FDRC, the recommendation of the VPAA, the written appeal of the President and the faculty member's response. The WUBOR shall be free to seek any additional information from the President, any other administrator involved (VPAA, Dean or Department Chair), the TAC FDRC and/or the faculty member. After reviewing the original documentation and any additional information requested, the WUBOR may accept, reject or modify the decision of the TAC FDRC. In rejecting or modifying the TAC's FDRC's decision, the WUBOR may use any information received from the TAC FDRC, President and/or the faculty member in its decision. The WUBOR shall issue its decision, in writing, within Twenty-eight (28) days from receipt of the faculty member's response to the President's written request for appeal. The decision of the WUBOR is final and no further appeals will occur.

If the President does not appeal the FDRC's decision to the WUBOR, then the FDRC's decision shall be carried out.

STEP 11: If the FDRC's decision calls for the suspension or termination and the President determines that the faculty member shall be terminated or suspended does not appeal the FDRC's decision to WUBOR, the faculty member shall have fourteen (14) days to file a written request for appeal to the Washburn University Board of Regents (*"WUBOR"*) WUBOR. (There is no appeal process for the faculty member if the President directs FDRC's decision is that a PIP or some other form of sanction short of suspension or termination be imposed on the faculty member.)

STEP 12: If the faculty member timely files a written request for appeal, the WUBOR shall review the decision recommendation of the President, the written appeal of the faculty member, the recommendation decision of the FDRC and the VPAA allegation of

charges. The WUBOR shall be free to seek any additional information from the President, any other administrator involved (VPAA, Dean or Department Chair), the FDRC and/or the faculty member. After reviewing the original documentation and any additional information requested, the WUBOR may accept, reject or modify the decision of the President FDRC. In rejecting or modifying the President's FDRC's decision, the WUBOR may use any information received from the FDRC and/or the faculty member in its decision. The WUBOR shall issue its decision, in writing, within Twenty-eight (28) days from receipt of the written request for appeal. The decision of the WUBOR is final and no further appeals will occur.

D. Faculty Discipline Review Committee

1. Utilization of the Faculty Discipline Review Committee. If a tenure-track faculty member wishes to appeal a termination decision by the Vice-President of Academic Affairs or a tenured faculty member requests review of a termination recommendation by the Vice-President of Academic Affairs (*"VPAA"*), the appeal or review shall be conducted by the Faculty Discipline Review Committee (*"FDRC"* or *"Committee"*), the members of which should be different than the FAC.

2. Formation and preparation of the Committee

A. Upon request for a Review, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee shall appoint five (5) faculty members, including naming one to serve as chairperson (*"Chair"*), to serve on the Committee. If the faculty member seeking appeal or review (*"Appellant"*) is a member of Faculty Senate, he/she shall not participate in the selection and appointment of the Committee members. Each member of the Committee shall meet the following requirements:

a. The faculty member must be tenured at the time of appointment.

b. The faculty member must not be a member of the academic unit in which the Appellant is a member.

c. If any time after being appointed to the Committee, a member of the Committee determines or realizes that they have personal knowledge relating to the underlying incident or any involvement with the Appellant that could impact the impartiality of the Committee member, then that Committee member shall notify the Chair and remove themselves from serving on the Committee. The Chair shall notify the President of the Faculty Senate so that another faculty member may be appointed to the Committee.

i.If any time after being appointed to the Committee, a member of the Committee determines or realizes that they have personal knowledge relating to the underlying incident but does not believe it will affect his/her impartiality, the Committee member shall notify the Chair, who shall notify both the Appellant and the VPAA (individually *"Party"* and collectively *"Parties"*) regarding the issue.
Either Party may then within three (3) business days from receiving the notice, request that the member of the Committee be removed due to a possible conflict. If either Party does request that the member of the Committee be removed, the Chair shall notify the President of the Faculty Senate so that another faculty member may be appointed to the Committee.

B. Once the Committee is formed, the VPAA shall provide the following information to each member, except for item e:

a. A copy of the Appellant's request for appeal or review;

b. The written decision or recommendation of the VPAA;

c. Any other reports prepared by the Dean and Department Chair (if applicable);

d. A list of witnesses from the VPAA that he/she would request to be heard by the Committee with a brief statement as the topic(s) about which each witness would give testimony.

e. A list of witnesses from the Appellant that he/she would request to be heard by the Committee with a brief statement as the topic(s) about which each witness would give testimony. This information shall be provided to the FDRC directly from the Appellant.

f. A copy of the pertinent Kansas Statutes and University regulations that govern the faculty member's procedural and substantive rights, specifically including but not being limited to relevant (1) statues, (2) Bylaws, (3) Faculty Handbook, (4) statements concerning the proper composition, procedures, and powers of the Committee.

C. The Office of the VPAA shall provide all administrative support necessary for the FDRC so that the Committee members shall be able to perform their duties both as members of the Committee and as faculty members at Washburn.

3. Pre-hearing matters

A. Pre-hearing Meeting. The Committee shall meet (*"Pre-hearing Meeting"*) no later than fourteen (14) days after receiving the materials in 2.2 above. At this meeting, the Committee shall determine, by a majority vote, several things: a) if there is to be any additional documentation, i.e. reports, communications, etc. to be provided to the Committee; b) what witnesses offered by the parties would be called to appear before the Committee; c) what witnesses the Committee would like called to appear before the Committee that were not listed by either Party; and d) the date or dates the Committee will hear testimony and evidence and the location, if possible. The documentation shall be requested within 48 hours of the Pre-hearing Meeting and should contain a deadline to respond no later than seven (7) days after the issuance of the request for the documentation. Both Parties shall be advised, in writing, within 48 hours of the decisions made by the committee. The Committee shall deliver the documentation received to both Parties within 48 hours of receipt of the documentation by the Committee. The date the hearing is scheduled to begin shall be such as to allow the

parties adequate opportunity to prepare for the hearing, but not more than twenty-one (21) days from the date of the Pre-hearing Meeting.

B. The Appellant shall indicate the appropriate professional organizations that he/she would like to be notified of the hearing. The Chair shall notify each such professional organization of the date, time and location of the hearing, and their right to send one (1) observer to the hearing. The professional organizations shall also be provided a copy of the written request for review or appeal and the VPAA's decision or recommendation for termination. Observers shall be allowed to remain present throughout the entire hearing.

a. A person who will be serving either as an Advisor or witness during the hearing is not eligible to serve as an observer.

C. The Parties may have up to seven (7) advisors, such as academic and/or legal advisors (*"Advisors"*), accompany them and be present at the hearing. The Parties and their Advisors shall be allowed to remain present throughout the entire hearing.

a. If either party intends to utilize a legal advisor in the termination appeals hearing, then that party shall notify the other party not later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing so that the other party can also secure a legal advisor if he/she so chooses.
b. If a party wishes to have more than seven (7) Advisors present during the hearing, then that party may petition the Committee to have additional Advisors present. The petition shall be in writing, provide a justification for the number of Advisors being sought beyond the limit of seven, and shall be presented to the Committee Chair and the opposing party not less than seven (7) days before the first scheduled date of the hearing. The opposing party may file a written response to the petition within two (2) business days. The Committee shall determine if the request shall be granted and how many additional Advisors will be allowed.

D. The University shall make available to the Appellant, and to his/her academic and legal advisors, all the authority it possesses to obtain information and to require the presence of witnesses and the production of evidence relevant to the issues of the hearing.

4. Hearing

A. The hearing shall proceed in the following order:

1) If the hearing is an appeal by a tenure-track faculty of a decision to terminate by the VPAA - (1) consideration of witnesses and other evidence in support of Appellant's written request for appeal, (2) consideration of witnesses and other evidence in support of the VPAA's decision to terminate or suspend, (3)

consideration of witnesses and other evidence as requested by the Committee in their Pre-hearing Meeting; (4) rebuttal evidence offered for consideration by the Appellant, (5) rebuttal evidence offered for consideration by the VPAA, and (6) final statements.

 If the hearing is a request for review by a tenured faculty of a recommendation for termination by the VPAA – (1) consideration of witnesses and other evidence in support of the VPAA's recommendation to terminate or suspend, (2) consideration of witnesses and other evidence in support of the tenured faculty member's written request for review, (3) consideration of witnesses and other evidence as requested by the Committee in their Pre-hearing Meeting; (4) rebuttal evidence by the VPAA, (5) rebuttal evidence by the tenured faculty, and (6) final statements.

3) If, for some reason, there exist unique circumstances so that the Committee believes that a clearer or more expeditious way of exploring the issues can be achieved by varying this normal order of proceeding, it may recommend such variation to the parties, and if both parties agree, such variation may be used.

B. The Committee shall not be bound by the formal procedure of the law courts. The rules of evidence applicable in courts of law shall not be binding at the hearing, but may be consulted by the Committee in its discretion.

C. The party bringing the action – faculty in case of tenure-track appeal and VPAA in case of review of recommendation – shall carry the burden of proof and the standard of proof shall be the preponderance of the evidence.

D. Both parties shall remain present in the hearing during the entire proceedings unless and until the Committee determines that a party's behavior is so disruptive that the hearing could not continue with the party remaining present.

E. Questioning of the witnesses.

- 1) All questioning of the witnesses shall be done by the Chair or other members of the Committee.
 - a. Any questions to be asked by the parties of a witness shall be provided to the Chair when it is that party's opportunity to ask the questions.
 - 1. Once those initial questions have asked and answered, the party may submit additional questions as follow up to the answers provided.
 - b. The Chair shall then ask the questions proffered by the party.
 - c. Questions provided by the party that did not request the witness to be called may be written in the form of leading questions and the Chair shall ask them in that form if so written.

- d. The Chair has the right not to ask any question proffered if he/she believes the question is inappropriate. However, if a subject matter has been queried during questions by either the Committee or the other party, then the Chair must allow the other party to also inquire into that subject matter.
- 2) The order of questioning of witnesses shall be as follows:
 - a. The members of the Committee shall first ask any questions of each witness that they may have.
 - b. The party that requested that witness be called to appear before the Committee.
 - c. The party that did not request the witness be called.
 - d. Members of the Committee may ask any additional questions.
 - e. When all questioning is concluded by the Committee, then both parties may submit additional questions to be asked of each witness.
- 3) Parties may use their advisors and legal counsel to help draft questions.
- F. All witnesses shall be excluded from the hearing room except while testifying.
- G. Claim of demonstrated incompetence—outside evaluators. The dismissal of a faculty member for demonstrated incompetence is an extreme remedy. Absent extraordinary circumstances, other avenues must be utilized first to correct unacceptable performance prior to termination proceedings being initiated. If demonstrated incompetence in teaching or research is all or part of the basis for the VPAA's decision or recommendation, outside evaluators in the Appellant's field shall be identified to provide objective evaluations of Appellant's competence or lack thereof in teaching and/or research. The set of evaluators shall consist of one member proposed by the Chair of the Appellant's department (or the equivalent), one member proposed by the Appellant, and one member proposed by the VPAA. The FDRC may seek input from members of the Appellant's department. Each evaluator shall file with the FDRC a report of their conclusions within four weeks. Any evaluator compensation expense shall be borne by the University.

H. A verbatim record of the proceedings shall be made. The record shall be made by a certified stenographic reporter. A transcript of each day's proceedings shall be furnished to the Committee and the parties before the next hearing date. The cost of making the record and the transcriptions shall be borne by the University.

I. The committee, at its discretion, may adjourn the hearing from time to time in order to permit the parties to obtain additional evidence.

J. The Committee may request written briefs from the parties, and shall accept them if they are offered. Any and all briefs shall be due no later than five (5) business days from the close of the hearing.

- Any person who served as an observer under Section 3.B. above may also submit a brief to the committee during the same time frame. This brief should only address procedural concerns or perceived irregularities observed during the hearing process. It shall not advocate for a particular position on an issue or a particular party.
- 2) If the Committee, after reviewing any brief filed by an observer, believes any part of that brief is not applicable to the current matter but should be considered for possible changes in the procedures moving forward, shall provide a copy of the brief, after the hearing is completed, to both the President of the Faculty Senate and the VPAA for further consideration.

K. Rights and Responsibilities of all participants in the FDRC hearing process.

- 1) Any participant in this FDRC process, including but not limited to the parties, the Committee members, observers, Advisors and witnesses, shall refrain from making any public statements beginning from the time they are first engaged in this FDRC process until a final decision is rendered in the matter. After the final decision, any statements made shall relate only to procedural issues and are not to reference specific facts nor persons who may have testified. This last restriction would not apply to anyone who may be participating in any subsequent legal proceedings.
- 2) All participants are to be free from retaliation for their participation in this FDRC process. If any participant believes they are being retaliated against in their position as an employee or student at Washburn, they are strongly encouraged to file a complaint with the Equal Opportunity Director to ensure that any and all remedies and protections available to them may be implemented.

5. Post-hearing

A. The Committee shall consider the evidence in order to make a recommendation to the President regarding the issue(s) presented.

B. All the decisions of the Committee shall be based solely upon the evidence presented at the hearing. The Committee shall make specific findings of fact to support its conclusions on each of the alleged grounds for dismissal. A copy of the Committee's opinion, setting forth its finding on each issue in the case together with the reasons by

which it justifies its conclusions, and any dissenting opinions, shall be provided to each of the parties and to the President. This shall be done at the time when the Committee announces its decision in the case.

C. The Committee will make a recommendation to the President, in writing, no later than 14 days after the close of the hearing, regarding the following items.

a. Did the evidence establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Appellant's behavior violated any of the standards as set out in **Section 3.V.A** of the Faculty Handbook and cited by the VPAA in his/her recommendation to terminate the Appellant.

b. What sanction(s) would be the appropriate to be imposed on the Appellant. The Committee should include this in their recommendation even if they recommend that the President find that the Appellant did not violate any standards set out in **Section 3.V.A** of the Faculty Handbook.

These items should be addressed separately for each allegation of misconduct, as set out in Section (currently 3.V.A.) of the Faculty Handbook. The recommendations of the Committee shall reflect what a majority of the Committee members agreed as to each of the above matters.

D. When the report of the Committee is complete, it is sent to the President, and the review process is concluded.