

N I V E R S I T Y Review

Human Resources, 118 Morgan Hall, 670-1538

Employee Performance Review

2/22

Employee's Name		WIN#		Recommendation:					
				□ Retain					
Department		Position Title		Extend probation					
				more months					
Review Period	Superviso	r Name:		Unsatisfactory;recommended action:					
From: To:	Superviso	or WIN:		recommended dealem.					
	·								
	Type of □ F Review:	Probationary Annual	□ Special	☐ Other					
An overall rating less than 3.0 will be assistance.	addressed in a F	Performance Improvement Plan	(PIP). Please co	ontact Human Resources for					
This area may be used to describe en additional comments as necessary.	mployee's strengtl	hs, needs for improvement when	re appropriate, de	evelopmental plans, and/or					
SPECIAL EXPECTATIONS FOR UPCOMING REVIEW PERIOD									
Supervisor's Signature	Only manded if C	Dynamiaan 9 Daviawan ana d		ate					
REVIEWER'S COMMENTS (O	Only needed if S	Supervisor & Reviewer are d	ifferent)						
Reviewer's Signature				Date					
EMPLOYEE COMMENTS (op	tional)								
				_					
Employee's Signature My signature indicates ONLY that	t I have reviewe	ed the document and discuss	ed the contents	with my supervisor					

PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS AND NUMERICAL RATING CODES

INSTRUCTIONS: The supervisor should assign a numerical rating to each of the applicable categories below. Ratings should be given in whole numbers only (no percentages). TOTALS should be computed at the bottom of each rating column. To determine the OVERALL RATING, add totals together and divide by the number of performance expectations rated. Additional pages may be used if necessary.

1=Does not meet expectations 2=Needs improvement meeting expectations 3=Consistently meets expectations 4=Occasionally exceeds expectations 5=Consistently exceeds expectations

expectations 4-Occasionally exceeds ex	xpeci					tently exceeds expectations
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS	1	2	3	4	5	COMMENTS ON PERFORMANCE
1. QUALITY OF WORK: Work is accurate, thorough, neat, effective.						
2. PRODUCTIVITY: Work produced compares favorably to job expectations.						
3. JOB KNOWLEDGE: Employee understands duties and responsibilities of the job.						
4. JUDGMENT: Required decision-making is logical and sound in relation to effective performance of the job.						
5. INITIATIVE: Employee exhibits appropriate degree of initiative and effort in performance of duties.						
6. DEPENDABILITY: Assignments are carried out effectively.						
7. TEAMWORK: Employee works with others effectively; willing to vary work schedules/assignments as needed.						
8. LEADERSHIP (if applicable): Through effective formal or informal direction of others, employee ensures work is completed satisfactorily.						
9. COMPLIANCE: Employee complies with the University's policies and work rules (i.e.: attendance, punctuality, etc.) during the review period.						
10. ADDITIONAL EXPECTATION (describe):						
11. ADDITIONAL EXPECTATION (describe):						
TOTAL EACH COLUMN:						
Grand total, all columns/Total number of Performance Expectations rated = OVERALL NUMERICAL RATING (grand total divided by total number performance expectations)						
Employee Name				Emp	oloye	eee WIN